

Newton, Mimi

From: Stephen M. Richmond <SRichmond@bdlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2013 9:15 AM
To: Newton, Mimi
Cc: Zabaneh, Mahfouz; Shaffer, Caleb; Mccue, Monte W (WT)
Subject: Parker Facility - Siemens Water Transfer

Mimi – I hope this email finds you well.

As we discussed earlier in the year (see below), I am writing to let you know that Siemens Industry, Inc. is proceeding to transfer its Parker carbon reactivation facility into a wholly owned subsidiary, Siemens Water Technologies LLC, effective July 1, 2013. Facility personnel and contact information are expected to remain the same. We will notify you if the July 1 date changes. The only contemplated change to the Part A and Part B information would be the change in the name from Siemens Industry, Inc. to Siemens Water Technologies LLC.

The facility plans to update its financial assurance mechanisms following completion of the transaction and will submit revised documents to EPA when this is completed.

As always, feel free to call or email if you have any questions.

Best regards.

From: Newton.Mimi@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Newton.Mimi@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 7:30 PM
To: Stephen M. Richmond
Cc: Zabaneh.Mahfouz@epamail.epa.gov; Shaffer.Caleb@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Interpretation of 40 CFR 270.72(a)(4) - change in ownership or operational control

Steve

Your message accurately reflects our discussion. I will just add the following - Information regarding any modifications to either the Part A or the Part B Permit Applications made necessary by the establishment of the new entity in Step 1 as described below (e.g., a name change, significant personnel changes etc.) should be transmitted to EPA prior to or at the time of that transaction to ensure that the pending applications, contact information and EPA's understanding about the identity of the entity actually operating the facility are up to date. In addition, if changes to financial assurance mechanisms are necessary as a result of the transaction described in Step 1, revised insurance policies or other documentation reflecting those changes should also be provided to EPA as soon as they are available.

Let me know if I can be of any further assistance in the meantime.

Mimi Newton
Assistant Regional Counsel
US Environmental Protection Agency
Pacific Southwest Region
75 Hawthorne St. (ORC-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-972-3941
415-947-3570 (fax)

From: "Stephen M. Richmond" <SRichmond@bdlaw.com>
To: Mimi Newton/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

Mimi - thank you for speaking with me earlier this month and again yesterday about the effect of a two-step divestiture process on an interim status facility. I am writing to seek EPA confirmation of the conclusions of our discussion.

Siemens Industry, Inc. (SII) owns and operates a hazardous waste management facility located on Tribal land in Parker, Arizona. The Parker facility is in interim status under 40 CFR Part 265 and 270. SII has announced its intent to sell its water business, of which the Parker facility is a part. There is no identified buyer, so our discussion at this point is conceptual.

The sale process is likely to occur in two steps. In the first step, in preparation for the sale, SII is likely to establish a new entity, either a corporation or a limited liability company, and to move its water assets into that entity, including the Parker facility. The new entity will be wholly owned by SII and/or an affiliated Siemens company. In the second step, either all of the stock (or in the case of an LLC, all of the membership interest) of the new entity will be sold to a third party, or if the sale is structured as an asset sale, then all of the assets held by the new company will be sold directly to a third party.

Under the interim status rule, if there is a change in ownership or operational control, as set forth in 40 CFR 270.72(a)(4), the owner or operator must submit a revised Part A permit application to EPA at least 90 days in advance of the scheduled change. We have discussed the two step process above, and I understand that EPA will consider the first step of the described process – which is entirely internal to SII - to not constitute a change in ownership or operational control, and that EPA will consider the second step in the process – the sale to the third party - to constitute a change in ownership or operational control which will require submittal of a revised Part A.

Kindly let me know by return e-mail if I understand EPA's position on this transfer process correctly. We want to be certain that SII adheres to EPA's interpretation of the change provision in its rule. As you suggested, SII would be happy to provide a letter to EPA immediately prior to the first step in the process so that EPA remains fully apprised of the schedule.

Thank you very much for your assistance on this inquiry.

Stephen M. Richmond

Principal

Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.

15 Walnut Street Suite 400

Wellesley, MA 02481

T (781) 416-5710 ~ F (781) 416-5799

SRichmond@bdlaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This electronic message contains information from the law firm of Beveridge & Diamond, P.C. and may be confidential or privileged. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by telephone at (781) 416-5700 or by e-mail reply and delete this message. Thank you.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To the extent that tax advice is contained in this correspondence or any attachment hereto, you are advised that such tax advice is not intended to be used, and cannot be used for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party the tax advice contained herein.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.